THE CAPCO INSTITUTE JOURNAL OF FINANCIAL TRANSFORMATION

REGULATION

Mapping GenAl regulation in finance and bridging the gaps NYDIA REMOLINA

GenAl

a **wipro** company



2024/2025 EDITION

THE CAPCO INSTITUTE

JOURNAL OF FINANCIAL TRANSFORMATION

RECIPIENT OF THE APEX AWARD FOR PUBLICATION EXCELLENCE

Editor

Shahin Shoiai. Global Head. Capco Institute

Advisory Board

Lance Levy, Strategic Advisor Owen Jelf, Partner, Capco Suzanne Muir, Partner, Capco David Oxenstierna, Partner, Capco

Editorial Board

Franklin Allen, Professor of Finance and Economics and Executive Director of the Brevan Howard Centre, Imperial College London and Professor Emeritus of Finance and Economics, the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania

Philippe d'Arvisenet, Advisor and former Group Chief Economist, BNP Paribas

Rudi Bogni, former Chief Executive Officer, UBS Private Banking

Bruno Bonati, Former Chairman of the Non-Executive Board, Zuger Kantonalbank, and President, Landis & Gyr Foundation

Dan Breznitz, Munk Chair of Innovation Studies, University of Toronto

Urs Birchler, Professor Emeritus of Banking, University of Zurich
Elena Carletti, Professor of Finance and Dean for Research, Bocconi University, Non-Executive Director, UniCredit S.p.A.

Lara Cathcart, Associate Professor of Finance, Imperial College Business School

Géry Daeninck, former CEO, Robeco

Jean Dermine, Professor of Banking and Finance, INSEAD

Douglas W. Diamond, Merton H. Miller Distinguished Service Professor of Finance, University of Chicago

Elroy Dimson, Emeritus Professor of Finance, London Business School

Nicholas Economides, Professor of Economics, New York University

Michael Enthoven, Chairman, NL Financial Investments

José Luis Escrivá, President, The Independent Authority for Fiscal Responsibility (AIReF), Spain

George Feiger, Pro-Vice-Chancellor and Executive Dean, Aston Business School

Gregorio de Felice, Head of Research and Chief Economist, Intesa Sanpaolo

Maribel Fernandez, Professor of Computer Science, King's College London

Allen Ferrell, Greenfield Professor of Securities Law, Harvard Law School

Peter Gomber, Full Professor, Chair of e-Finance, Goethe University Frankfurt

Wilfried Hauck, Managing Director, Statera Financial Management GmbH

Pierre Hillion, The de Picciotto Professor of Alternative Investments, INSEAD

Andrei A. Kirilenko, Reader in Finance, Cambridge Judge Business School, University of Cambridge

Katja Langenbucher, Professor of Banking and Corporate Law, House of Finance, Goethe University Frankfurt

Mitchel Lenson, Former Group Chief Information Officer, Deutsche Bank

David T. Llewellyn, Professor Emeritus of Money and Banking, Loughborough University

Eva Lomnicka, Professor of Law, Dickson Poon School of Law, King's College London

Donald A. Marchand, Professor Emeritus of Strategy and Information Management, IMD

Colin Mayer, Peter Moores Professor of Management Studies, Oxford University

Francesca Medda, Professor of Applied Economics and Finance, and Director of UCL Institute of Finance

& Technology, University College London

Pierpaolo Montana, Group Chief Risk Officer, Mediobanca

John Taysom, Visiting Professor of Computer Science, UCL

D. Sykes Wilford, W. Frank Hipp Distinguished Chair in Business, The Citadel

CONTENTS

TECHNOLOGY

08 Mindful use of Al: A practical approach

Magnus Westerlund, Principal Lecturer in Information Technology and Director of the Laboratory for Trustworthy Al, Arcada University of Applied Sciences, Helsinki, Finland

Elisabeth Hildt, Affiliated Professor, Arcada University of Applied Sciences, Helsinki, Finland, and Professor of Philosophy and Director of the Center for the Study of Ethics in the Professions. Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, USA

Apostolos C. Tsolakis, Senior Project Manager, Q-PLAN International Advisors PC, Thessaloniki, Greece

Roberto V. Zicari, Affiliated Professor, Arcada University of Applied Sciences, Helsinki, Finland

14 Understanding the implications of advanced AI on financial markets

Michael P. Wellman, Lynn A. Conway Collegiate Professor of Computer Science and Engineering University of Michigan, Ann Arbor

20 Auditing GenAl systems: Ensuring responsible deployment

David S. Krause, Emeritus Associate Professor of Finance, Marquette University

Eric P. Krause, PhD Candidate – Accounting, Bentley University

28 Innovating with intelligence: Open-source Large Language Models for secure system transformation

Gerhardt Scriven, Executive Director, Capco

Tony Moenicke, Senior Consultant, Capco

Sebastian Ehrig, Senior Consultant, Capco

38 Multimodal artificial intelligence: Creating strategic value from data diversity

Cristián Bravo, Professor, Canada Research Chair in Banking and Insurance Analytics, Department of Statistical and Actuarial Sciences, Western University

46 GenAl and robotics: Reshaping the future of work and leadership

Natalie A. Pierce, Partner and Chair of the Employment and Labor Group, Gunderson Dettmer

ORGANIZATION

56 How corporate boards must approach Al governance

Arun Sundararajan, Harold Price Professor of Entrepreneurship and Director of the Fubon Center for Technology, Business, and Innovation, Stern School of Business, New York University

66 Transforming organizations through AI: Emerging strategies for navigating the future of business

Feng Li, Associate Dean for Research and Innovation and Chair of Information Management, Bayes Business School (formerly Cass), City St George's, University of London

Harvey Lewis, Partner, Ernst & Young (EY), London

74 The challenges of AI and GenAI use in the public sector

Albert Sanchez-Graells, Professor of Economic Law, University of Bristol Law School

78 Al safety and the value preservation imperative

Sean Lyons, Author of Corporate Defense and the Value Preservation Imperative: Bulletproof Your Corporate Defense Program

92 Generative AI technology blueprint: Architecting the future of AI-infused solutions

Charlotte Byrne, Managing Principal, Capco **Thomas Hill**, Principal Consultant, Capco

96 Unlocking Al's potential through metacognition in decision making

Sean McMinn, Director of Center for Educational Innovation, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology Joon Nak Choi, Advisor to the MSc in Business Analytics and Adjunct Associate Professor, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

REGULATION

104 Mapping GenAl regulation in finance and bridging the gaps

Nydia Remolina, Assistant Professor of Law, and Fintech Track Lead, SMU Centre for Al and Data Governance, Singapore Management University

112 Board decision making in the age of Al: Ownership and trust

Katja Langenbucher, Professor of Civil Law, Commercial Law, and Banking Law, Goethe University Frankfurt

122 The transformative power of AI in the legal sector: Balancing innovation, strategy, and human skills Eugenia Navarro, Lecturer and Director of the Legal Operations and Legal Tech Course, ESADE

129 Remuneration on the management board in financial institutions: Current developments in the framework of supervisory law, labor law, behavioral economics and practice

Julia Redenius-Hövermann, Professor of Civil Law and Corporate Law and Director of the Corporate Governance Institute (CGI) and the Frankfurt Competence Centre for German and Global Regulation (FCCR), Frankfurt School of Finance and Management Lars Hinrichs, Partner at Deloitte Legal Rechtsanwaltsgesellschaft mbH (Deloitte Legal) and Lecturer, Frankfurt School of Finance and Management



CAPCO CEO WELCOME

DEAR READER,

Welcome to our very special 60th edition of the Capco Journal of Financial Transformation.

The release of this milestone edition, focused on GenAl, reinforces Capco's enduring role in leading conversations at the cutting edge of innovation, and driving the trends shaping the financial services sector.

There is no doubt that GenAl is revolutionizing industries and rapidly accelerating innovation, with the potential to fundamentally reshape how we identify and capitalize on opportunities for transformation.

At Capco, we are embracing an Al infused future today, leveraging the power of GenAl to increase efficiency, innovation and speed to market while ensuring that this technology is used in a pragmatic, secure, and responsible way.

In this edition of the Capco Journal, we are excited to share the expert insights of distinguished contributors across academia and the financial services industry, in addition to drawing on the practical experiences from Capco's industry, consulting, and technology SMEs.

The authors in this edition offer fresh perspectives on the mindful use of GenAl and the implications of advanced GenAl on financial markets, in addition to providing practical and safe frameworks for boards and firms on how to approach GenAl governance.

The latest advancements in this rapidly evolving space demonstrate that the potential of GenAl goes beyond automating and augmenting tasks, to truly helping organizations redefine their business models, processes and workforce strategies. To unlock these benefits of GenAl, I believe that firms need a culture that encourages responsible experimentation and continuous learning across their organization, while assessing the impact of the potential benefits against a strategic approach and GenAl framework.

I am proud that Capco today remains committed to our culture of entrepreneurialism and innovation, harnessed in the foundation of our domain expertise across our global teams. I am proud that we remain committed to our mission to actively push boundaries, championing the ideas that are shaping the future of our industry, and making a genuine difference for our clients and customers — all while ensuring to lead with a strategy that puts sustained growth, integrity and security at the forefront of what we do.

I hope you'll find the articles in this edition both thought-provoking and valuable as you create your organization's GenAl strategy and future direction. As we navigate this journey together, now is the time to be bold, think big, and explore the possibilities.

My greatest thanks and appreciation to our contributors, readers, clients, and teams.

Annie Rowland, Capco CEO

Que. Marie Parlez

MAPPING Genal REGULATION IN FINANCE AND BRIDGING THE GAPS

NYDIA REMOLINA I Assistant Professor of Law, and Fintech Track Lead, SMU Centre for Al and Data Governance,
Singapore Management University

ABSTRACT

Generative artificial intelligence (GenAl) is rapidly reshaping the financial services sector by introducing new avenues for innovation, efficiency, and profitability. GenAl systems, including models like "generative adversarial networks" (GANs) and "transformers", can autonomously generate content such as synthetic data, trading strategies, and fraud detection insights, transforming traditional financial operations. However, these advancements come with new challenges, particularly in ensuring that GenAl is deployed ethically, securely, and in compliance with evolving regulatory frameworks. Current financial regulations, such as those governing anti-money laundering (AML), market integrity, financial consumer protection, among others, were originally designed for human-driven processes and do not fully address the complexities introduced by Al systems. While some jurisdictions, such as the E.U., Singapore, the U.S., and China, have launched Al regulatory initiatives, frameworks specifically tailored to the financial services industry are still a work in progress. This article seeks to provide an overview of these differing regulatory landscapes while raising awareness of the gaps that financial institutions and regulators should address to bridge in the responsible adoption of GenAl in the financial services sector.

1. INTRODUCTION

Generative artificial intelligence (GenAl) is rapidly transforming the financial services sector, ushering in new opportunities for innovation, efficiency, and profitability [Teresa (2023)]. GenAl refers to a class of artificial intelligence systems that can create new, original content or data by learning from existing data patterns. Using advanced models like "generative adversarial networks" (GANs) and "transformers", generative AI can produce text, images, audio, and other types of content that mimic human-like creativity and decision making [Foster (2022)]. In finance, GenAl is used for applications such as synthetic data generation, algorithmic trading strategies, fraud detection, and personalized financial services [Lee et al. (2024), Ramdurai and Adhithya (2023)]. Its ability to autonomously generate content or simulate scenarios sets it apart from traditional Al models that simply analyze or classify data. Hence, these technologies promise to reshape how financial institutions operate, making processes faster and more accurate while reducing costs

[Wang (2023)]. However, with these advancements come new challenges, particularly in ensuring that GenAl systems are deployed ethically, securely, and within the bounds of regulatory frameworks that jurisdictions around the world have developed in the last few years to mitigate the risks of Al systems (predictive and generative) [for more on the differences between predictive Al and GenAl, see Hermann and Puntoni (2024), Harrington (2024)].

Despite the rapid uptake of artificial intelligence (AI) in finance, regulatory frameworks have struggled to keep pace [Roberts et al. (2024)]. Many existing regulations, such as those governing anti-money laundering (AML), data privacy, market integrity, financial stability, consumer protection, were designed for human-centered processes and may not fully address the complexities introduced by automated systems [Remolina (2024)]. Additionally, regulations specific to AI offer broad guidelines but often lack the granularity and a sector-specific approach needed for the unique applications of AI

in the financial services sector. Additionally, GenAl has just recently entered into the policy and regulatory conversation for financial regulators in some jurisdictions.

Indeed, Singapore, the E.U., the U.S., and China have each launched initiatives to regulate AI, and some of them to regulate GenAI. However, we are still at an early stage in these developments and none offer a framework tailored to the financial services industry with appropriate enforcement mechanisms to tackle the new risks created by GenAI. In finance, where trust, transparency, and accountability are paramount, these gaps pose real risks that threatens financial consumer protection and even the stability of the financial sector.

This article maps the characteristics of the current regulatory models for GenAl in finance, from some first-mover jurisdictions such as the U.S., the E.U., Singapore, and China, identifying where regulations succeed, where they fall short, and what gaps need to be addressed to ensure safe and ethical Al adoption. By analyzing various jurisdictions and their regulatory approaches, this article seeks to provide an overview of the regulatory landscape while raising awareness of the gaps that financial institutions and regulators should address to bridge the gaps in the responsible adoption of GenAl in the financial services sector.

2. THE STATE OF DEVELOPMENT AND IMPLEMENTATION OF GENAI IN FINANCE

GenAl is gaining significant traction in the financial services sector. Although GenAl's implementation is still at an experimental stage, it has the potential of transforming the way financial institutions operate and interact with both consumers and markets [Aldasoro et al. (2024)]. These Al systems, which can create data, content, and predictions autonomously, are being integrated into various areas such as algorithmic trading, fraud detection, customer service, and personalized financial planning. As the demand for real-time decision making and advanced predictive capabilities grows, GenAl is positioned to play a pivotal role in the future of finance.

For instance, financial institutions such as JP Morgan Chase is using GenAl to enhance fraud detection by creating synthetic transaction data. This synthetic data is fed into machine learning models to train the system without compromising real customer information, which enables better fraud detection and risk management [Trinh (2024)]. Likewise, Mastercard utilizes GenAl to combat fraud by developing Al-generated models that can simulate fraudulent activities and predict

patterns of suspicious behavior. This initiative uses AI to create fraud prevention models. These models analyze transactional data in real-time, allowing Mastercard to reduce false positives in fraud detection, improve customer experience, and lower operational costs to the point that Mastercard has reportedly decreased false positives during the detection of fraudulent transactions against potentially compromised cards by up to 200%, and increased the speed of identifying merchants at risk from — or compromised by — fraudsters by 300% [Mastercard (2024)].

Ant Financial, one of the world's largest digital payment platforms, uses GenAl for both risk assessment and customer service [Fan (2024), Asian Banker (2024)]. The company employs AI to create detailed risk profiles for users, leveraging data from various sources to make quick and accurate credit decisions. Maxiaocai, an Al agent, offers users expert-level financial services, customized market insights, simplified complex financial concepts, and tailored investment advice. The Al personal financial manager can generate visual summaries of financial reports, highlighting essential information, and translate intricate financial terminology into easily comprehensible language [Refna (2024)]. Since its public testing began in early 2024, Maxiaocai is claimed to have garnered 70 million monthly active users as of August 2024, with 45% residing in cities below the third tier. The platform now connects with more than 200 financial institutions, including asset management companies and securities firms, as well as over 15,000 financial content creators [Refna (2024)].

Also, Zest Al, a fintech company focused on credit underwriting, uses GenAl in lending decisions. The Al model analyses and generates alternative data, helping lenders assess creditworthiness more accurately without relying solely on traditional credit scores [Deepchecks Community (2024)]. Zest Al's generative models have increased loan approval rates for historically underserved groups by 15-20% [Becky (2024)].

3. THE RISKS OF GenAI IN THE CONTEXT OF FINANCIAL SERVICES

GenAl presents existing concerns related to Al, such as lack of transparency and explainability, fairness challenges, data protection issues, while also introducing new challenges that demand attention from policymakers and the financial services sector. A prominent issue currently discussed in the industry and academia is hallucinations. In the context of financial

services, this would be a "financial hallucination" [Remolina (2024)], where GenAl produces information that is incorrect or misleading [Weidinger (2022), Wachter (2024)]. Hallucinations can lead to inappropriate risk assessments or incorrect advice through Al-supported chatbots, undermining public trust in both the Al systems and the financial institutions using them.

Data privacy and protection are also significant concerns with GenAl, especially in finance, a highly regulated industry. These models are typically trained on large datasets, which may include sensitive financial information. The use of publicly accessible Al platforms within financial institutions can increase the risk of inadvertently exposing confidential data. Many Al platforms do not guarantee data protection, leaving financial institutions vulnerable to breaches. This issue is especially pressing for smaller institutions that lack the resources to develop in-house Al models, which would offer better control over data security [Remolina (2024)].

Fairness is another critical issue with GenAl, particularly when it is used in financial decision making processes like credit scoring. If the training data is biased, the Al's outputs will reflect and potentially amplify those biases, leading to discriminatory outcomes. This is especially problematic in lending markets, where biased Al systems could restrict access to credit for certain groups. Although some regulators, such as the Monetary Authority of Singapore (MAS), encourage financial institutions to assess algorithmic credit scoring through the Veritas Initiative, these recommendations are not mandatory¹ and do not fully address the specific challenges posed by GenAl given that they were proposed in the context of predictive Al [Remolina (2022)].

GenAl also impacts systemic risk in the financial services sector. The widespread and interconnected use of Al increases the risk of market instability, particularly due to the procyclicality of Al-driven decisions and the speed at which they are made. Overreliance on Al-generated reports could result in herd behavior, leading to mispricing and market imbalances [Shabsigh and Boukherouaa (2023)]. Moreover, the concentration of foundational Al model providers could create new concentration problems in a complex new financial infrastructure, as many of these providers operate beyond the reach of financial regulators [Remolina (2023)].

GenAl also raises intellectual property concerns, particularly regarding copyright infringement [Lemley (2024)]. Many GenAl models are trained on proprietary financial analyses and reports without proper authorization, potentially violating copyright laws. Some jurisdictions are exploring licensing solutions and copyright guidelines to address these legal challenges [Samuelson (2023)].

Lastly, the problem of value alignment is significant in GenAl. In finance, ensuring that Al-generated decisions align with human values and ethical standards is crucial. If Al systems generate overly risky or deceptive financial strategies, the consequences could be disastrous, undermining trust in financial institutions and threatening the stability of the financial system.

4. PROBLEMATIC CHARACTERISTICS OF THE EARLY REGULATORY MODELS THAT IMPACT GENAI IN FINANCE

Regulatory frameworks specifically addressing GenAl in finance remain underdeveloped, and the approaches taken by jurisdictions like the U.S., Singapore, the E.U., and China vary significantly, while sharing some similarities. This section compares the main characteristics of the current regulatory models in these regions and explores their impact on the financial services sector.

In the U.S., regulatory oversight for AI in finance is fragmented and sector-specific. There is no centralized Al law governing its use in financial services. Instead, the U.S. relies on existing regulations such as the Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA), which requires fairness in credit decisions, including those made using automated systems [Gillis (2022)]. Additionally, data privacy laws like the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) aim to protect consumer data in Al-driven processes. Financial regulators such as the Federal Trade Commission (FTC) and Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) also play a role in monitoring the use of AI in financial services, particularly in ensuring transparency, mitigating fraud, and protecting consumers. However, these frameworks do not directly address the unique risks posed by GenAl, such as model explainability or the mitigation of biases that may arise from Al-generated content.

¹ Nonetheless, there is an expectation in Singapore that the industry should comply with these recommendations.

In contrast, several policy initiatives have positioned Singapore as one of the leading advocates of AI governance worldwide. In Singapore, the approach to AI governance and regulation is based on non-mandatory tools for the private sector to develop ethical and responsible AI systems, and a cooperative effort between regulators and the private sector. By 2019, Singapore had launched initiatives such as the Model AI Governance Framework; an international and industry-led advisory council on the ethical use of AI and data; and a research program on the governance of AI, ethics, and data-use established through the Centre for AI and Data Governance at the Singapore Management University [Goh and Remolina (2020)].

The Model Al Governance Framework was published as a guide for organizations to address key ethical and governance issues when deploying AI technologies [PDPC (2020)]. A second edition of the model framework was launched by the Minister for Communications and Information at the World Economic Forum Annual Meeting in 2020. Both editions identify two sets of ethical principles for the responsible adoption of Al in the private sector, namely: decisions made by Al should be explainable, transparent, and fair; and Al systems should be human-centric. The model framework is complemented with the Implementation and Self-Assessment Guide for Organizations (ISAGO), which aims to help organizations decide how their Al governance practices can align with the "model framework". ISAGO provides a set of questions and practical examples to enable organizations to assess their Al governance practices against the model framework [WEF and IMDA (2020)1.

In 2023, the Al Verify Foundation was launched to develop Al testing tools for the responsible use of Al [Gurrea-Martinez and Remolina (2024)]. In relation to sector-specific strategies, MAS published, in 2018, a guide on principles to promote fairness, ethics, accountability, and transparency (FEAT) in the use of Al in the financial sector [MAS (2018)]. In addition, MAS launched the Veritas initiative to translate into practice the FEAT principles in specific Al use cases in the financial services sector; for instance, by assessing discrimination and fairness issues in algorithmic credit scoring [MAS (2021)]. Furthermore, in 2023, the Info-communications Media Development Authority (IMDA) unveiled the GenAl evaluation sandbox to test Al governance in concrete GenAl use cases

66

While significant strides have been made in regulating GenAI in finance, there remain substantial gaps in the current frameworks.

,,

and the Al Verify Foundation and IMDA published in 2024 a proposed "Al Model Governance Framework for Generative Al" to mitigate the risks enforced and newly created by this type of Al [IMDA and Al Verify Foundation (2024)]. This proposal advocates for a practical, risk-based approach to evaluating GenAl, focusing on six core areas: accountability in Al development, data usage in model training, model development and deployment, third-party evaluations, research on safety and alignment, and using Al to promote public good. The paper also called for more global cooperation to establish a unified platform for GenAl governance.

The E.U. Al Act categorizes Al systems based on risk, with high-risk applications in finance, such as credit scoring, facing stringent oversight. This includes requirements for explainability, transparency, and risk management [European Parliament (2023)]. Additionally, the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) imposes data protection requirements on Al systems, ensuring that personal data is handled ethically and responsibly.

4.1 A fragmented approach

There is no comprehensive, globally accepted regulatory framework specifically for Al in finance. Instead, jurisdictions apply existing regulations from areas such as data privacy or data protection (e.g., GDPR), financial integrity (e.g., antimoney laundering regulations), cybersecurity, consumer protection laws, and the unintegrated approaches to GenAl governance that do not necessarily consider its coexistence with all the ecosystem of multiple regulations.

² Singapore's Advisory Council on the Ethical Use of Al and Data was established on August 30th, 2018. The 11 Advisory Council members are from diverse backgrounds and comprise of international leaders in Al, including from big technology companies, advocates of social and consumer interests, and local companies. The Advisory Council assists the authorities in engaging with stakeholders to support the development of Al governance through issuing advisory guidelines, practical guides, and codes of practice for voluntary industry adoption. IMDA, 2019, "ANNEX A: Council Members of the Advisory Council on the ethical use of Al and data."

This patchwork approach has resulted in a fragmented regulatory landscape, with each jurisdiction, and within a iurisdiction, different regulators developing their own rules that dictate or recommend (in the cases of non-mandatory approaches) how GenAl can be implemented in financial services, leading to a lack of uniformity. For instance, the U.S. Equal Credit Opportunity Act (ECOA) indirectly requires fairness in automated decision making, ensuring that Al models do not produce discriminatory outcomes, but similar guidelines are not uniformly adopted across all regions. Data protection laws like the GDPR in the E.U. and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the U.S. further complicate this fragmented approach, as financial institutions must navigate different compliance requirements when deploying GenAl across borders. This fragmented approach creates regulatory uncertainty and increases compliance costs for financial institutions operating globally. Without an effort to coherently integrate GenAl regulation into this complex ecosystem of rules, financial institutions face challenges in aligning their Al systems with the varying expectations of regulators, particularly in areas such as bias mitigation, explainability, and data protection.

4.2 Homogenization of GenAl regulation for all sectors

One of the major gaps in the current regulatory landscape is the lack of a sector-specific approach to GenAl in finance. While general Al regulations such as the E.U.'s Al Act provide broad guidance, they do not address the unique complexities of GenAl in financial services. Financial markets are highly sensitive to issues such as data security, risk management, and market manipulation, which require a specialized regulatory framework.

Additionally, even within the financial services sector, GenAl—and Al—use cases do not create the same risks. For example, Al-generated trading strategies or automated lending decisions may have direct and immediate impacts on market stability, consumer welfare, and systemic risk. However, GenAl for fraud detection does not pose an immediate risk to financial stability while algorithmic trading could pose a greater risk in this area. The existing regulatory models do not fully account for these sector and subsector-specific risks, leaving financial institutions exposed to potential legal and reputational consequences. A sector-specific approach would provide more targeted guidelines and enforcement mechanisms to ensure that GenAl is deployed safely and ethically in financial contexts.

Singapore's approach is one of the first in trying to provide a more tailored approach to the financial services sector in the governance considerations for the use and deployment of GenAl through project MindForge. Project MindForge is driven by the Veritas Initiative and examines the risks and opportunities of GenAl technology for financial services [MAS (2023)]. It aims to develop a clear and concise framework on the responsible use of GenAl in the financial services industry. In phase one, the consortium has developed a comprehensive GenAl risk framework, with seven risk dimensions identified in the areas of:

- 1. Accountability and governance
- 2. Monitoring and stability
- 3. Transparency and explainability
- 4. Fairness and bias
- 5. Legal and regulatory
- 6. Ethics and impact
- 7. Cyber and data security

4.3 Self-regulation as the main risk-mitigation tool

The reliance on self-regulation is another significant aspect of the regulatory models for GenAl in finance. The E.U. has faced criticism for allowing financial institutions and Al developers to self-regulate in certain areas, leading to concerns about weak oversight and the potential for harm that is not immediately tangible, such as bias or financial losses due to faulty Al systems. Self-certification processes, while intended to encourage innovation, may not provide sufficient safeguards against issues like financial hallucinations or systemic risks.

Similarly, China has adopted a self-regulatory model with its "Interim Measures for the Management of Generative Al Services", which requires Al systems to undergo security assessments and adhere to content governance rules. However, these measures focus more on public safety and political considerations rather than the specific risks associated with GenAl in financial services. While China's approach emphasizes transparency and ethical Al use, it lacks the financial sector-specific focus necessary to address the full range of risks posed by GenAl in finance.

4.4 Materiality and risk assessment

A key challenge in regulating GenAl in finance is the need for clear guidelines on materiality and risk assessment. Financial institutions must assess the material impact of GenAl

models on decision making processes, particularly in areas like lending, trading, and fraud detection. However, current regulatory frameworks often lack concrete standards for how to measure the risks associated with Al-generated outputs, making it difficult for institutions to conduct comprehensive risk assessments.

For example, the potential for Al models to generate misleading financial reports or biased lending decisions requires financial institutions to develop new tools and methodologies for assessing the material risks posed by these systems. Regulatory bodies need to provide clearer guidelines on how to quantify and mitigate the risks associated with GenAl, particularly in the context of systemic risk and financial stability.

Initiatives such as Veritas or the sandboxes could serve this purpose. However, these available tools are not mandatory for the financial services sector. Additionally, when Veritas was launched and proposed, it did not consider the risks exacerbated and created by GenAl and the particularities of GenAl versus predictive Al. Additionally, the GenAl sandbox, launched in 2024 in Singapore, targets SMEs to harness the benefits of GenAl and support their innovation and digitalization journey. It is led by the Infocomm Media Development Authority (IMDA). Thus, this sandbox is not a financial regulation tool and, as such, is not specialized the financial services sector.

Other approaches, such as the E.U. Al Act, have been criticized for the overreliance on self-certification, weak oversight and investigatory mechanisms, and far-reaching exceptions for both the public and private sectors [Wachter (2024)]. The proposed liability frameworks for Al systems in the E.U. have been similarly criticized because they focus on material harm while ignoring harm that is immaterial, monetary, and societal, such as bias, hallucinations, and financial losses due to faulty Al products [Wachter (2024)].

4.5 The use of GenAl by fraudsters

Fraud is an area where GenAl poses exacerbated risks for the financial services sector. Fraudsters are increasingly using sophisticated AI to impersonate clients or legitimate representatives of financial institutions, tricking consumers or financial institutions into authorizing fraudulent payments. Al-generated scams are becoming more credible and difficult to detect, even for highly cautious consumers and financial professionals [Resistant Al (2023)]. A recent example is one where an employee of a Hong Kong-based financial services firm was deceived into transferring \$25 million after participating in a deepfake video conference call with someone posing as the company's CFO [Chen and Magramo (2024)]. Financial regulators should think about new approaches to balance the liability of financial institutions and consumers in this new era of authorized push payment fraud taking into consideration the new challenges posed by GenAl in payments systems.



5. CONCLUSION

This article maps the characteristics of the current regulatory models to GenAl in finance. It looks at a number of first-mover jurisdictions, such as the E.U., the U.S., Singapore, and China, identifying where regulations succeed, where they fall short, and what gaps need to be addressed to ensure safe and ethical Al adoption. By analyzing these regulatory approaches, this article seeks to provide a high-level overview of the regulatory models applicable to GenAl in finance and concludes that all models contribute to a fragmented approach to GenAl regulation. Moreover, apart from Singapore, the current approaches of the first movers lack sector-specific focus because they are mostly based on self-regulation tools, and do not provide clear risk assessment methodologies that measure the materiality of GenAl harms and tailor solution accordingly.

Finally, current approaches have not considered that some use cases of GenAl are developed outside regulated entities but still directly affect financial consumers and institutions, as seen with the use of GenAl for fraud. Frameworks such as fraud payment regulations may need recalibration to address the new challenges posed by this technology.

Decoding the issues present in these characteristics of the GenAl regulatory models is a first step for regulators, policy-makers and the industry to propose solutions aimed at bridge the gaps. While significant strides have been made in regulating GenAl in finance, there remain substantial gaps in the current frameworks. A more harmonized, sector-specific approach with enforcement mechanisms, and methodologies that recognize the general and undefined purpose of GenAl models is necessary to ensure that financial institutions can safely and ethically deploy these technologies.

REFERENCES

Aldasoro, I., L. Gambacorta, A. Korinek, V. Shreeti, and M. Stein, 2024, "Intelligent financial system: how AI is transforming finance," Bank for International Settlements. paper no. 1194

Asian Banker, 2024, "Ant Group unveils smart assistants services and foundation model technologies," https://tinyurl.com/yz9eu2d5

Becky F., 2024, "MeridianLink and Zest Al expand partnership, accelerating market momentum and enabling financial institutions to drive more inclusive lending practices," MeridianLink, https://tinyurl.com/bdez98at

Chen, H., and K. Magramo, 2024, "Finance worker pays out \$25 million after video call with deepfake 'chief financial officer'," CNN, February 4, https://tinyurl.com/5x6mct5d

Deepchecks Community, 2024, "The revolutionary impact of generative AI in the financial sector," blog, https://tinyurl.com/wdzv9yh5

European Parliament, 2023, "Al Act: a step closer to the first rules on artificial intelligence," https://tinyurl.com/242fmyke

Fan F., 2024, "Tech company Ant Group launches Al life assistant," China Daily, https://tinyurl. com/3e5nphc4

Foster, D., 2022, Generative deep learning, second edition, O'Reilly Media, Inc.

Gillis, T., 2022, "The input fallacy," 106 Minnesota Law Review 1175

Goh, Y., and N. Remolina, 2020, "The innovation of Singapore's Al ethics model framework' in Al in 2019: a year in review," Shanghai Institute for Science of Science, 77–78

Gurrea-Martinez, A., and N. Remolina, 2024, "Financial regulation," in Phang, A., G. Yihan, and S. Chesterman (eds.), Law and technology in Singapore, Academy Publishing

Harrington, L., 2024, "Comparison of generative artificial intelligence and predictive artificial intelligence," AACN Advanced Critical Care 35:2, 93-96

Hermann, E., and S. Puntoni, 2024, "Artificial intelligence and consumer behavior: from predictive to generative Al," Journal of Business Research 180, 114720

IMDA and Al Verify Foundation, 2024, "Proposed model Al governance framework for generative Al – fostering a trusted ecosystem," Infocommunications Media Development Authority (IMDA) and Al Verify Foundation

Lemley, M., 2024, "How generative Al turns copyright law upside down," Science and Technology Law Review 25:2

Lee, D. K. C., C. Guan, Y. Yu, and Q. Ding, 2024, "A comprehensive review of generative AI in finance," FinTech 3:3, 460-478

MAS, 2018, "Guide on principles to promote fairness, ethics, accountability and transparency (FEAT) in the use of artificial intelligence and data analytics in Singapore's financial sector," Monetary Authority of Singapore

MAS, 2021, "Veritas initiative addresses implementation challenges in the responsible use of artificial intelligence and data analytics," Monetary Authority of Singapore

MAS 2023, "MAS partners industry to develop generative AI risk framework for the financial sector," Monetary Authority of Singapore, https:// tinyurl.com/86b4r2f4

Mastercard, 2024, "Mastercard accelerates card fraud detection with generative AI technology," https://tinyurl.com/bdcmc5x4

PDPC, 2020, "Singapore's approach to Al governance," Personal Data Protection Commission, https://tinyurl.com/thhzv6x6

Ramdurai, B., and P. Adhithya, 2023, "The impact, advancements and applications of generative AI," International Journal of Computer Science and Engineering 10:6, 1-8

Refna, T., 2024, "Ant Group launches new Al financial manager Maxiaocai," Finance Director Europe, https://tinyurl.com/yh88y26p

Remolina, N., 2022, "The role of financial regulators in the governance of algorithmic credit scoring," SMU Centre for Al and Data Governance research paper no. 2/2022

Remolina, N., 2023, "Interconnectedness and financial stability in the era of artificial intelligence," in Remolina, N., and A. Gurrea-Martinez (eds.), Artificial intelligence in finance, Edward Elgar Publishing Remolina, N., 2024, "Generative AI in finance: risks and potential solutions," Law Ethics and Technology Journal 1:1, Special Issue: The Law and Ethics of Generative AI

Resistant Al, 2023, "FraudGPT: how Al is – and isn't – revolutionizing financial crime," April 19, https://tinyurl.com/5v5dtbkk

Roberts, H., E. Hine, M. Taddeo, and L. Floridi, 2024, "Global Al governance: barriers and pathways forward," International Affairs 100:3, 1275-1286

Samuelson, P., 2023, "Generative Al meets copyright," Science 381:6654, 158-161

Shabsigh, G., and E-B. Boukherouaa, 2023, "Generative artificial intelligence in finance," International Monetary Fund Fintech Notes vol. 2023. issue 006

Teresa, W., 2023, "The benefits of generative Al for banking and financial leaders," Actian, October 10, https://tinyurl.com/yi65kkze

Trinh N., 2024, "How JPMorgan Chase and Others are using generative AI to revolutionize banking and their financial services?" Linkedin, https://tinvurl.com/hfoexi2k

Wachter, S., 2024, "Limitations and loopholes in the EU AI Act and AI Liability Directives: what this means for the European Union, the United States, and beyond," Yale Journal of Law and Technology 26:3

Wang, Y., 2023, "Generative AI in operational risk management: harnessing the future of finance," SSRN, https://tinyurl.com/4dtybduz

WEF and IMDA, 2020, "Companion to the model Al governance framework – implementation and self-assessment guide for organizations," World Economic Forum and Info-communications Media Development Authority (IMDA)

Weidinger, L., et al., 2022, "Taxonomy of risks posed by language models, FACCT '22: Proceedings of the 2022 ACM Conference on fairness accountability and transparency 214, 216-221

© 2024 The Capital Markets Company (UK) Limited. All rights reserved.

This document was produced for information purposes only and is for the exclusive use of the recipient.

This publication has been prepared for general guidance purposes, and is indicative and subject to change. It does not constitute professional advice. You should not act upon the information contained in this publication without obtaining specific professional advice. No representation or warranty (whether express or implied) is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information contained in this publication and The Capital Markets Company BVBA and its affiliated companies globally (collectively "Capco") does not, to the extent permissible by law, assume any liability or duty of care for any consequences of the acts or omissions of those relying on information contained in this publication, or for any decision taken based upon it.

ABOUT CAPCO

Capco, a Wipro company, is a global management and technology consultancy specializing in driving transformation in the energy and financial services industries. Capco operates at the intersection of business and technology by combining innovative thinking with unrivalled industry knowledge to fast-track digital initiatives for banking and payments, capital markets, wealth and asset management, insurance, and the energy sector. Capco's cutting-edge ingenuity is brought to life through its award-winning Be Yourself At Work culture and diverse talent.

To learn more, visit www.capco.com or follow us on LinkedIn, Instagram, Facebook, and YouTube.

WORLDWIDE OFFICES

APAC	EUROPE	NORTH AMERICA
Bengaluru – Electronic City	Berlin	Charlotte
Bengaluru – Sarjapur Road	Bratislava	Chicago
Bangkok	Brussels	Dallas
Chennai	Dusseldorf	Houston
Gurugram	Edinburgh	New York
Hong Kong	Frankfurt	Orlando
Hyderabad	Geneva	Toronto
Kuala Lumpur	Glasgow	
Mumbai	London	SOUTH AMERICA
Pune	Milan	São Paulo
Singapore	Paris	545 1 44.15
	Vienna	
MIDDLE EAST	Warsaw	
Dubai	Zurich	

THIS UNIQUE IMAGE WAS GENERATED USING MID-JOURNEY, STABLE DIFFUSION AND ADOBE FIREFLY



